

(mage

Docket No.: 213672US2

OBLON
SPIVAK
MCCLELIAND
MAIER
&
NEUSTADT
P.C.

2814

L.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

ECKHARD H. KUESTERS (703) 413-3000 EKUESTERS@OBLON.COM

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 09/955,080

Applicants: Kenji YAMAGUCHI, et al.

Filing Date: September 19, 2001

For: SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE EVALUATION

METHOD AND APPARATUS, SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE MANUFACTURING CONTROL METHOD, SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE MANUFACTURING

METHOD, AND RECORDING MEDIUM

Group Art Unit: 2814

Examiner: M. PIZARRO CRESPO

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

## PROVISIONAL ELECTION OF SPECIES

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAJER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Eckhard H. Kuesters

Registration No. 28,870

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax) I:\ATTYCP\213672US\213672US-ELECTION2.CVR.DOC APR 0 9 2004 BUTTER TRADEMENT

**DOCKET NO: 213672US2** 

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

KENJI YAMAGUCHI ET AL.

: EXAMINER: M. D. PIZARRO CRESPO

SERIAL NO: 09/955,080

FILED: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001

: GROUP ART UNIT: 2814

FOR: SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE EVALUATION METHOD AND APPARATUS, SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE MANUFACTURING CONTROL METHOD, SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE MANUFACTURING METHOD, AND

RECORDING MEDIUM

## PROVISIONAL ELECTION OF SPECIES

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the election of species requirement dated March 11, 2004, Applicants provisionally elect, with traverse, species 1 of Fig. 6, and identify Claims 7-11 as corresponding to the elected species. Applicants reserve the right to file one or more divisional applications directed to the non-elected species.

Applicants respectfully traverse the election requirement.

MPEP § 803 states:

... If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions.

Application No.: 09/955,080

Reply to the Office Action of March 11, 2004

Although the outstanding Official Action does not identify search classifications, it is believed that the field of search for the various species is overlapping, and that the claims of the present application would have to be searched in only a handful of sub-classes.

Furthermore, since electronic searching is commonly performed, a search may be made of a large number of, or theoretically all, subclasses without substantial additional effort.

Accordingly, Applicants also respectfully traverse the outstanding election requirement on the grounds that a search and examination of the entire application would not place a *serious* burden on the Examiner.

Accordingly, examination on the merits of each of Claims 1-20 is believed to be in order and is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAJER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Eckhard H. Kuesters

Registration No. 28,870

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Customer Number} \\ 22850 \end{array}$ 

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220

I:\atty\cp\213672\213672US-ELECTON2.DOC